



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/537,405	03/29/2000	Yoshikazu Watanabe	0557-4945-2	6828
22850 75	590 11/19/2004		EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			PHAM, THIERRY L	
1940 DUKE ST ALEXANDRIA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2624	
			DATE MAILED: 11/19/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action	09/537,405 WATANABE, YOSHIKAZU		IIKAZU
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Thierry L Pham	2624	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 21 September 2004 FAILS TO PLATherefore, further action by the applicant is required to a inal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appears amination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this appliced in a simely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper rep ch places the applic	ply to a cation in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The datave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the status of the shortened b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three most armed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection.  FINAL REJECTION. \$  36(a) and the appropriate ex  the final Office action; or	See MPEP e extension fee tension fee under (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) Method they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (	see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note by	pelow);		
(c)  they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or s	simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected clain	ms.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection.	etion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	· · ·	eparate, timèly file	d amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ req place the application in condition for allow 6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because the the Examinar in the final rejection.	ance because: See Continuation	Sheet.	
<ul> <li>raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.</li> <li>7.  For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we</li> </ul>			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 1-49.			•
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		•	
8.☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ app	proved or b) $\square$ disapproved by	the Examiner.	
9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	•	
10. Other: Halin GAE	HOICE BRIEL GARCIA ARY EXAMINER		

Application No.

Applicant(s)

Application No.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: newly added limitations "an angle of photography detection unit which detects an angle of photography with respect to a surface of a document as the subject in the document photographing mode and prevents capturing the image until a suitable angle of photography is detected" found in claims 1, 7, 12, 18, 23, 29, 34, 39, 48 raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search.